

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. IPC-113A 10/706,553 11/12/2003 Ron C. Yeh 6888 EXAMINER 09/09/2005 7590 Kenneth P. Glynn, Esq. GOODMAN, CHARLES Glynn & Associates, P.C. ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 24 Mine Street Flemington, NJ 08822 3724

DATE MAILED: 09/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Talk

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---|---|--------------|--|
| Office Action Commence | 10/706,553 | YEH ET AL. | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Charles Goodman | 3724 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | |
| Status | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | ~ | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This | action is non-final. | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar | ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-3 and 6-9 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 4 and 5 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | |
| Application Papers | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/12/03. S. Patent and Trademark Office. | 4) Interview Summary. Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/706,553 Page 2

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group II, claims 4 and 5, in the reply filed on May 16, 2005 is acknowledged.
- 2. Claims 1-3 and 6-9 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to nonelected Groups I and III, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on May 16, 2005.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
 - a. In claim 4, l. 48, (p. 22, l. 20 in the disclosure), the phrase "said attachment member" lacks clear antecedent basis. It appears that the phrase should read -- said upper member -- or similar based upon a similar claim 7 of Yeh et al (US 6,481,594).
 - b. Claim 5 is vague and indefinite in that the scope of the claim is unclear when the dependency of the claim (or lack thereof) is not clear. It appears that in

Art Unit: 3724

l. 2 of the claim, after the term "combination,", the phrase -- as claimed in claim 4, -- should be inserted.

Double Patenting

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

6. Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-10 of U.S. Patent No. 6,481,594 (hereinafter referred to as Yeh et al '594) in view of Daniels (RE 34,856).

Yeh et al claims substantially the same invention as claimed except for a plurality of connected streamline folded T-shirt type produce bags although a "roll" - as recited in the patented claims - of bags presumes a plurality of connected bags. However if this assertion is argued, then this arrangement for T-shirt type produce bags is well known in the art as exemplified by the teachings of Daniels. Daniels teaches a plurality of connected T-shirt type bags (16) in combination with a dispenser (e.g. 10) wherein the bags are connected to each other via perforated lines and provided in roll form so as to allow dispensing of individual bags. This arrangement being a typical and economical

Art Unit: 3724

means of having bags available for use by customers. Therefore, it would have been obvious to the ordinary artisan at the time of the instant invention to provide the bags of Yeh et al '594 to be connected in the roll form as taught and suggested by Daniels in order to facilitate economical means of dispensing individual bags.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles Goodman whose telephone number is (571) 272-4508. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday between 7:30 AM to 6:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap, can be reached on (571) 272-4514. In lieu of mailing, it is encouraged that all formal responses be faxed to (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov.

Art Unit: 3724

Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197 (toll-free).

Charles Goodman Primary Examiner

AU 3724

September 6, 2005

HARLES GOOD